UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,568	07/29/2003	Kevin Baker	742441-2	4119
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 02/08/200 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STREET, NW			TRAN, HANH VAN	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/628,568	BAKER, KEVIN
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh V. Tran	3637
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fina</u>	<u>al</u>
(1) <u>Hanh V. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Marc Butler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 January 2008	Time: <u>5:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether or not a response to the Office action mailed on 7/26/2007 has been filed. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that no such response has been filed; thus, the application is to go abandoned.